

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,636	12/17/2003	Chi-Kuang Lai	09071.0004	3852	
22852	7590 05/22/2006		EXAMINER		
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			VU, PHU		
LLP 901 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20001-4413		2871		
				_	

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Ø			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	U			
Office Action Summary		10/736,636	LAI, CHI-KUANG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Phu Vu	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	••			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communic O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Fe This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		ts is			
	·	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 43	33 O.G. 213.				
·	Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-16 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-8 and 17-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/736,636

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-8 and 17-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection presented below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-8, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Numao 5103328.

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Numao teaches an LCD comprising: a backlight source (fig. 2 element 19) emitting light; an electro-optical light shutter (EOLS) (21) including a plurality of regions arranged in a pattern, an LCD panel (20) disposed to sandwich the EOLS with the backlight source and wherein the EOLS controls the light emitted from the backlight source to pass through the regions at specific display time intervals and the LCD receives the light emitted from the display backlight source (see fig. 6 (c)-(f)).

Regarding claims 2 and 18, Numao teaches the shuttering effect to pass regions in sequence (see fig. 6) by biasing the electrodes sequentially.

Regarding claim 4, Numao teaches the EOLS further comprising a first substrate (fig. 2 element 13), a first electrode layer (4a) on the first substrate further

comprising a plurality of transparent electrodes formed in parallel to each other, and a second substrate (15) opposing the first substrate, a second electrode layer on the second substrate (14) and a liquid crystal layer (5a) between the first and second electrode layers.

Regarding claim 6, Numao teaches LC layer being ferroelectric (see element 5a).

Regarding claims 7 and 8, Numo teaches first polarizer and second polarizers (13 and 14) on which the first and second substrates are disposed respectively.

Claim 3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Numao in view of Kaneko 6833887.

Regarding claims 3 and 19, Numao teaches all the limitations of claims 3 and 19 except using the EOLs to pass the regions at the same time and biasing the electrodes of the EOLS at the time. Kaneko teaches an EOLs element that passes light to each of its regions at the same time (see column 4 lines 40-50) to provide rapid response and high contrast. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to bias the electrodes at the same time such that light passes through each region of the shutter at the same time to provide high contrast and response.

Claims 4 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Numao in view of De Zwart 4286266.

Regarding claims 4 and 20, Numao fails to explicitly disclose use of ITO as the electrode material. De Zwart teaches ITO as a conventional transparent electrode

Art Unit: 2871

material (column 3 lines 12-18). Conventionality has associative benefits such as proven and tested implementations. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply an ITO film to gain benefits of conventionality.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,636 Page 5

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (571)-272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

> ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER